



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/011,857   | 11/05/2001  | Debendra Das Sharma     | 10017812 - 1            | 5264             |
| 7590 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY<br>Intellectual Property Administration<br>P.O. Box 272400<br>Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 |             |                         | EXAMINER<br>DANG, KHANH |                  |
|  |             | ART UNIT<br>2111        | PAPER NUMBER<br>PAPER   |                  |
|  |             | MAIL DATE<br>11/23/2007 | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER  |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO./<br>CONTROL NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /<br>PATENT IN REEXAMINATION | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---------------------|
| 10011857                        | 11/5/01     | SHARMA, DEBENDRA DAS                              | 10017812 - 1        |

## EXAMINER

Khanh Dang

ART UNIT

PAPER

2111

20071029

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

See Attached

Khanh Dang  
Primary Examiner

The reply brief filed 9/27/2007 has been entered and considered.

The following is the Examiner's remark:


- 1. Regarding the 131 Affidavit, the Supplemental Examiner's Answer**  
**(7/25/2007) does not contain any new argument or any change in content. As a matter of fact, the 7/25/2007 is identical to the 3/24/2006 Examiner's Answer except in section (9) "Ground of Rejection."**

**In the 3/24/2006 Examiner's Answer, under section (9) "Ground of Rejection": the Examiner referred to the 7/21/2005 Final Rejection for a detailed 102(e) Rejection.**

**In the 7/25/2007, under section (9) "Ground of Rejection": the Examiner copied the detailed 102(e) Rejection into the 7/21/2005 Final Rejection to section (9) in response to "Order Remanding Appeal to Examiner" (7/6/2007) from the Board of Appeals (copy of the "order" was also provided to Applicant).**

- 2. Applicant's representative's convoluted arguments and irrelevant statement of qualification simply cannot be used as a substitution of facts contained in the one page exhibit filed 8/10/2004. It is still the Examiner's position that the one page exhibit filed 8/10/2004 does not meet the requirements set forth in MPEP 715.07, and 37 CFR 131.**

The application has been forwarded to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for decision on the appeal.



Khanh Dang  
Primary Examiner